RECEIVED TO

**HILLSIDE** 

MAY - 7 2021

Consideration has been given to the following issues as identified in Section 21.10 of the Official Community Plan relating to Hillside Development Permit Areas:

Views and Ridgeline Guidelines						
Does the proposal avoid developing on or alteration of ridgelines?	Yes		No	छ	N/A	
Are the structures setback a minimum of 10m from ridgelines?	Yes		No	Ø	N/A	
Is the structure designed so as not to impede the views from upland properties?	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Are lots staggered in order to create offset building envelopes to protect views?	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Does the natural character of the hillside remain, i.e. is the residences and structures not the dominant feature?	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Site Guidelines						
Has the natural topography been incorporated into the project to minimize site disturbance and blasting?	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Do the proposed contours and gradients resemble natural occurring terrain?	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Does the proposal avoid major cut and fills intended to create a buildable lot or flat yards?	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Do the driveway grades follow the natural terrain?	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Are manufactured slopes placed behind buildings and are natural slopes mimicked?	Yes		No		N/A	Ø
Have rock cuts been used instead of retaining walls where necessary (i.e. for roads)? Has consideration been given for visual impact of the exposed rock faces?	Yes		No		N/A	Ð
Is lot grading provided on a consistent, comprehensive basis throughout the whole of the development?	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Have the manufactured slopes been re-vegetated to reflect natural conditions?	Yes		No		N/A	Ø
Site Guidelines - Retaining Walls						
Are retaining walls minimized in order to decrease site disturbance?	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Are the retaining walls designed to fit with the landscape and reduce the visual impact of the wall?	Yes	M	No		N/A	
<ul> <li>Do the materials evoke a sense of permanence and reflect natural qualities in appearance through the use of context- sensitive materials (i.e. stone, masonry, brick, etc.), colours and textures?</li> </ul>	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
<ul> <li>Have large concrete lock blocks been masked or screened (i.e. through use of landscaping)?</li> </ul>	Yes		No		N/A	Ø
<ul> <li>Are they curvilinear and follow the natural contours of the land?</li> </ul>	Yes	Ð	No	प	N/A	
<ul> <li>Have they been terraced to break up apparent mass and to provide planting space for landscaping features?</li> </ul>	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
<ul> <li>Have systems of smaller terraced walls been used instead of a single large wall?</li> </ul>	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
<ul> <li>Has landscaping been provided to screen or supplement all retaining features?</li> </ul>	Yes	■ ✓	No		N/A	

OF LAKE						
Are retaining wall 1.5 metres or less in height or are retaining wall 1.5 metres or less in height or	Xes	Ø	No		N/A	
Site Guidelines - Lot Configuration and Clustering	$\approx$					
Are subdivisions being clustered on a portion of the site in organ to 7 2021 protect open space in steeper areas and the natural environment?	Yes		No		N/A	Ø
Are higher-density developments (e.g. small lot single detection residential, townhouses) being proposed in areas with less steeling part that are most easily developable?	Yes		No		N/A	A
that are most easily developable?						
Is the majority of the development in areas with natural slopes of less than 30%? and preserve open space in areas with natural slopes of 30%	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Has the open space in areas with natural slopes of 30% or more been	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
preserved?		<del> </del>				
Site Guidelines - Roads						
Have roads been aligned to follow natural site contours, conforming to topographic conditions rather than cutting across contours and reducing the impact on hillsides?	Yes	M	No		N/A	Ø
Has road connectivity been utilized in the road network over long cul-desacs and "dead-end" situations where topographic conditions permit?  Allow cul-de-sac length to be increased where connectivity in the road network is not possible due to topographic conditions, provided appropriate emergency access is	Yes		No		N/A	<b>A</b>
constructed.						
Have alternative approaches to turnarounds (e.g. hammerhead	Yes		No		N/A	V
configurations) been utilized?					,	<u> </u>
Have split roads and/or one-way roads been utilized to preserve						
significant natural features, to reduce the amount of slope disturbance or	Yes		No		N/A	
to improve accessibility to individual parcels?	ļ	-		-	<u> </u>	-
Have reduced pavement widths and right-of-way widths been utilized where service levels (such as snow plowing) can be maintained, emergency vehicle access can be maintained, the reduced widths provide demonstrably less slope disturbance and the reduced widths contribute to the overall neighbourhood character?	Yes		No		N/A	<b>A</b>
Has reduced roadway cross sections in width been considered if parking is to be located on private lots or if special pull-out parking areas are established in strategic positions?	Yes		No		N/A	₩.
Have meandering sidewalks adjacent to the road been provided as a means of eliminating long, sustained grades, preserving natural features, or reducing grading requirements within the right-of-way? Varied offsets between the road and sidewalk will be considered for these purposes.	Yes		No		N/A	Ø
Landscaping Guidelines - Preserving Vegetation						
Has existing vegetation been retained?	Yes	1	No		N/A	
Have building envelopes been sited outside areas of established vegetation?	Yes		No	Ø	N/A	
Landscaping Guidelines - Restoration of Vegetation						
Have native plant materials been used to the greatest extent possible?	Yes	1	No		N/A	
Have dry slones been replanted with drought and fire-resistant species?	Yes		No			

Have trees, shrubs and grasses been planted in masses and patterns characteristic of a natural setting and with the intent of encouraging	Yes		No		N/A	Ø
biodiversity?						
Dear the leaderships now porticular attention to areas adjacent to street	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Uses trans and regetation been replaced in a manner that replicated the						
characteristics and performance of the natural setting, including the	Yes		No		N/A	Ø
provision of a sufficient density of trees, sufficient ground cover and	-	ĺ				
Have trees been planted in organic clusters rather than in lines or formal						
Have trees been planted in organic clusters rather than in lines of formal	Yes		No		N/A	<b>I</b>
Do manufactured slopes blend in with existing slope conditions? Divisions and practices in the choice of plant	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Do manufactured slopes blend in with existing slope continuous of plant	163		110	<u>'</u>	1477	
Have water-conserving principles and practices in the choice of plant						
material (xeriscaping) and in the irrigation design and watering been	Yes	$\square$	No		N/A	
followed? (i.e. temporary drip irrigation systems, hand watering, and/or						
automatic shut-off valves).						$\vdash$
Has landscaping been used to minimize the impact to viewscapes by	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
screening building, landscape cuts and retaining walls?						$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
Building and Structure Guidelines	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Vic payante jocates to minimize and 9. ann. 9.	162		IVO	-	14/74	+
Has the building foundation been stepped back to reduce site grading and	Yes	d	No		N/A	
retaining requirements? (i.e. buildings should be set into the hillside and	163	ا ا	INO		14/7	
integrated with the natural slope conditions).  Have stories been stepped back above second levels to avoid single			ļ -	<u> </u>	<del></del>	+_/
	Yes		No		N/A	V
vertical planes?	Yes	J/	No		N/A	
Have varying rooflines been provided?	Yes		No		N/A	
Have buildings been articulated to reduce mass and vary rooflines?	Yes	d	No	$\frac{1}{\Box}$	N/A	
Have unbroken expanses of wall been avoided?	1.62	<b> </b>	INO		14/7	+
Have buildings been designed in smaller components that appear to fit	Yes		No		N/A	
with the natural topography of the site?				<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	+
Have roof pitches been designed to reflect the slope of the natural terrain? (i.e. angling roof pitches at slopes that are similar to those of the	Yes	1	No		N/A	
	, 63		"		'''	
natural terrain).  Have natural color tones for housing, fences, retaining walls and			1	1_	1	+-
outbuildings been used to help the development blend in to the setting?	Yes		No		N/A	
Have natural building and retaining wall materials been used wherever		17	1		1	1,
possible?	Yes		No		N/A	
Have buildings been articulated to reduce mass and vary rooflines?	Yes		No		N/A	
Have retaining walls within the front yard been discouraged?	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	$\Box$
Building and Structure Guidelines- Siting and Orientation		+=	1		+	
Have buildings been oriented so they run parallel with the natural site			-		+	+
contours to reduce the need for site grading works and to avoid high wall	Yes		No		N/A	
façades on the downhill elevation.	100				'','	
Have buildings been sited to minimize interference with the views from		+_		1_	1	
nearby (uphill) buildings.	Yes		No		N/A	
Building and Structure Guidelines- Setbacks		+		1	1	1
Have building setbacks been adjusted to allow greater flexibility locating a		+			<del>/</del>	+_
building and reduce the visual massing effect?	Yes		No	V	N/A	
Dunumg and reduce the visual massing circles	1					



Municipal Hall

**Community Services Department** 

10150 Bottom Wood Lake Road

Lake Country, BC V4V 2M1

t: 250-766-6674

f: 250-766-0200

development@lakecountry.bd,ca

MAY - 7 2021

**Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Resource Conservation** 

Consideration has been given to the following issues as identified in Section 22.12 of the Official Community Plan relating to the Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Resource Conservation Development Permit Areas:

Has site density been maximized for subdivisions?	Yes	V	No		N/A	
Has the building footprint been minimized in order to allow for maximum	Yes	3	No		N/A	
green space?						
Have lots been oriented to maximize solar orientation of building	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
envelopes? Have buildings been oriented to maximize solar gain?						
Is the subdivision laid out to minimize the length and amount of	Yes	<b>₩</b>	No		N/A	
infrastructure (such as sewer & water lines and roads)?						
Does the layout allow for alternative transportation options and transit?	Yes		No		N/A	₩.
Is the subdivision laid out to maximize site connectivity to nearby amenities	Yes	Þ	No		N/A	
and services?						
Do the materials and colors used in building construction minimize heat	Yes		No	Ø	N/A	
absorption? Is the roof not a dark color?						
Are large windows sheltered by overhangs which maximize solar input	Yes	Q	No		N/A	
during winter months?						
Do proposed buildings incorporate green roofs, living walls or other	Yes		No	Ø	N/A	
measures to reduce heat gains caused by hard surfaces?				1.37		$\vdash$
Are alternative energy sources being proposed in large scale structures?	Yes		No	g	N/A	
Do buildings have a south oriented roof to allow for future use of solar	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
panels?						
Are there opportunities for natural ventilation and airflow incorporated into	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
the building?	<u> </u>			<del> </del>	11/4	
Do building materials encourage thermal massing and seasonal thermal	Yes	A	No		N/A	
energy storage?	ļ.,	<del> </del>	<u> </u>	-	21/2	
Are building envelopes well sealed and energy efficient?	Yes	Z	No		N/A	1 1
Is vegetation low maintenance and require minimal irrigation?	Yes		No		N/A	
Is the enhanced landscaping located along the south and west facing parcel	Yes		No	A	N/A	
boundaries to create shade?		<u> </u>	ļ <u></u>			<del>   </del>
Is rainwater recycling included in landscape designs?	Yes		No	V	N/A	
Have porous material been maximized throughout the landscaping?	Yes	Ø	No		N/A	
Do water features use recirculation systems as opposed to once through	Yes		No		N/A	U
systems?		<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>		
Are opportunities for local food production and public food gardens	Yes		No		N/A	Ø
incorporated into larger developments and subdivisions?		L				